Do Outstanding Employees Have More Responsibility in the Hotel? A Case Study in Moderation Effect Model at XYZ Hotel

I Made Sucipta Adnyana[1], Ni Desak Made Santi Diwyarthi[2], Nyoman Gede Mas Wiartha[3], Nyoman Reni Ariasri[4], I Wayan Jata[5]

[1,2,3,4,5] Politeknik Pariwisata Bali and santidiwyarthi@yahoo.com

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis hubungan antara latar belakang pendidikan, pengalaman kerja, dan kinerja karyawan di Departemen Room Division, yang terdiri dari 55 karyawan, 30 di antaranya dikategorikan sebagai karyawan berprestasi. Penelitian ini menguji peran pendidikan dan pengalaman dalam kinerja yang luar biasa dan mengidentifikasi indikator kunci dari karyawan yang berkinerja tinggi, seperti dedikasi yang tinggi, kemampuan pemecahan masalah yang kuat, inisiatif, dan kerja sama tim. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa karyawan dengan pendidikan dan pengalaman yang lebih tinggi cenderung menunjukkan kinerja yang luar biasa, mendukung teori-teori sumber daya manusia dan kinerja pekerjaan. Penelitian ini memberikan kontribusi wawasan baru mengenai keragaman latar belakang dan kinerja optimal di industri perhotelan.

Kata Kunci: Karyawan, Hotel, Tanggung Jawab, Luar Biasa

ABSTRACT

This study aims to analyze the relationship between educational background, work experience, and employee performance in the Room Division Department, consisting of 55 employees, 30 of whom are categorized as outstanding employees. The research examines the role of education and experience in exceptional performance and identifies key indicators of high-performing employees, such as high dedication, strong problem-solving abilities, initiative, and teamwork. The results show that employees with higher education and experience tend to exhibit outstanding performance, supporting human capital and job performance theories. This study contributes new insights into the diversity of backgrounds and optimal performance in the hospitality industry.

Keywords: Employee, Hotel, Responsibility, Outstanding

INTRODUCTION

Outstanding employees are often viewed as valuable assets in organizations due to their superior performance, dedication, and ability to exceed expectations. Companies tend to rely heavily on these high-performing individuals by assigning them additional responsibilities beyond their formal job roles. However, whether this increase in responsibility is an outcome of their exceptional performance or if it leads to better organizational outcomes remains a question. In this study, we aim to explore whether outstanding employees are granted more responsibility and how this affects their overall productivity and motivation. A moderation effect model is employed to examine the role of organizational structure and leadership in this relationship.

An outstanding employee refers to an individual who demonstrates exceptional performance and exceeds expectations in carrying out their duties and responsibilities. Such employees typically possess a high level of skill, dedication, and commitment, often contributing significantly to the organization. They are recognized for the following qualities: consistently high-quality work, strong problem-solving skills, initiative and proactiveness, and teamwork ability.

Consistently high-quality work means outstanding employees consistently deliver high-quality work and exceed expected standards. Strong problem-solving skills refers to the employee that can find creative and effective solutions to complex problems. Initiative and proactiveness means these employees do not simply wait for instructions but take the initiative to start projects or resolve issues without needing direction. Teamwork ability refers to the employee that are often excellent team players who motivate colleagues and contribute to a positive work environment. In general, outstanding employees are vital assets to a company because they play a significant role in achieving organizational goals and are often considered future leadership candidates.

An outstanding employee refers to an employee who demonstrates exceptional performance and exceeds expectations in carrying out their duties and responsibilities. These employees typically possess a high level of skill, dedication, and commitment, and often make significant contributions to the company. They are known for: consistenly high-quality work, strong problem-solving skills, initiative and proactivity, teamwork skills.

Consistently high-quality work means outstanding employees consistently produce high-quality work and exceed the expected standards. Strong problem-solving skills refers to able to find creative and effective solutions to complex problems. Initiative and proactivity means these employees do not just wait for directions but take the initiative to start projects or solve problems without needing to be guided. Teamwork skills refers to become excellent team players, motivate their colleagues, and contribute to a positive work environment. In general, outstanding employees are valuable assets to the company because they play a major role in achieving company goals and are often seen as potential future leaders.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Expectancy Theory by Victor Vroom, 1964 explained that employees are motivated to perform based on the expected outcomes. Outstanding employees may expect higher responsibility as a reward for their performance. Biddle said Role Theory (1986) posits that individuals act according to the roles assigned to them. When high-performing employees are given more responsibility, their role in the organization expands, potentially impacting their work identity and job satisfaction. Social Exchange Theory by Blau (1964): Social exchange theory suggests that employees with outstanding performance expect a return for their efforts. In many cases, this return comes in the form of additional responsibilities, greater autonomy, or leadership opportunities. Job Characteristics Model by Hackman & Oldham, 1976 indicates that jobs with high levels of skill variety, task identity, task significance, autonomy, and feedback lead to greater job satisfaction and performance. Outstanding employees are likely to be given jobs with these enriched characteristics, adding to their responsibility.

Employee Retention and Development: Labor shortages remain a critical challenge in the hospitality sector, prompting companies to implement innovative recruitment and talent retention strategies. These include creating a positive work culture, providing opportunities for growth, and offering personalized employee development programs. For example, organizations like Wynn Macau Limited have successfully enhanced employee

retention by focusing on gratitude programs and management development initiatives. Their efforts led to an impressive 96% retention rate among high-potential employees.

Hausknecht et al. (2009) explained *Targeted Employee Retention: Performance-Based and Job-Related Differences in Reported Reasons for Staying, in Human Resource Management Journal (2029).* This study investigated the reasons employees stay in their jobs, categorizing factors into organizational support, rewards, and job satisfaction. It found that employees with higher performance levels often remain with organizations when they perceive their contributions are recognized and rewarded through career development opportunities and supportive management. Kyndt et al. (2009). *Employee Retention: Organisational and Personal Perspectives, Vocations and Learning Journal.* 2009. This study focused on how personal and organizational factors impact employee retention. It emphasized the role of learning opportunities and professional development as key drivers for retention.

Huselid (1995). *The Impact of Human Resource Management Practices on Turnover, Productivity, and Corporate Financial Performance, Academy of Management Journal,* 1995. Huselid explored how high-performance work systems, including talent development and training programs, significantly reduce employee turnover while enhancing organizational productivity. Recent insights suggest that employee retention in hospitality is closely tied to development initiatives. Hospitality People Group Journal (2023) highlighted that organizations emphasizing career development programs and gratitude initiatives see higher retention rates, especially among high-potential employees. These studies collectively underscore that retention is most effective when employees perceive opportunities for growth, are recognized for their contributions, and experience a supportive organizational culture.

Kim, Y., & Park, H. (2019), *Corporate Social Responsibility as an Organizational Attractiveness for Prospective Employees in the Hotel Industry, Sustainability Journal,* 2019. This study examines how corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives in the hospitality industry attract and align employees with organizational goals. It highlights that employees are more likely to stay engaged when their values align with the organization's sustainability efforts, such as environmental conservation and community engagement. CSR initiatives contribute positively to employer branding, reducing turnover and improving job satisfaction.

Choi, G., & Parsa, H. G. (2007) focused on customer loyalty, this study also reveals that employees who see their organization committed to green practices feel greater pride in their work. This alignment fosters stronger engagement and retention, as employees perceive a sense of purpose in their roles. Suki, N. M., & Suki, N. M. (2015), explained *Impact of Employees' Commitment on Sustainability Practices in the Hotel Industry, in Sustainability Journal,* 2015.

This research explores the bidirectional relationship between employee commitment and sustainability practices. Employees committed to sustainability tend to

perform better, and organizations with visible environmental and social initiatives report higher retention rates due to shared values. These theories shows an outstanding employee is someone who consistently delivers exceptional performance, exceeding expectations in their duties. They show high dedication, strong problem-solving abilities, take initiative, and work well in teams. These employees are valuable assets to the company, often contributing significantly to its success and viewed as future leaders.These theories form the basis for understanding how outstanding performance could lead to increased responsibility and how organizational factors may moderate this relationship.

METHODS

This research adopts description method with qualitative and quantitative approach, using a moderation effect model to investigate the relationship between employee performance and increased responsibility. Five supervisors were chosen based on their performance appraisals, asking to evaluated 30 employees were identified as "outstanding performers" from room division department in XYZ hotel. Data were collected through structured questionnaires that measured performance (based on KPI scores), the extent of additional responsibilities assigned, organizational structure (flat vs. hierarchical), and leadership styles (transformational vs. transactional).

Independent Variable: Employee performance (Outstanding vs. Average), Dependent Variable: Additional responsibility, Moderators: Organizational structure and leadership style. Analysis Technique: Moderated regression analysis was employed to identify the moderating effects of organizational structure and leadership style on the relationship between performance and responsibility.

This research employs a structured observation-based performance evaluation method, conducted by five supervisors in the Room Division Department. The supervisors were selected based on their experience in leading and managing employee performance in the hospitality industry. They were provided with standardized evaluation guidelines to assess performance aspects, such as dedication, problem-solving abilities, initiative, and teamwork. This method ensures objective and consistent evaluation results. The supervisors evaluated 30 employees through a combination of direct observation during operational activities and brief interviews. Each supervisor documented their findings using weighted criteria to provide comprehensive performance scores. Subsequently, the data from all five supervisors were consolidated for analysis to identify employees who met the criteria for outstanding employees. The research methodology incorporates structured observation, direct observation, and interviews as primary techniques.

The reason for method selection were: Relevance to the Hospitality Context: Direct observation allows for real-time, situation-based performance assessment, which is crucial in a service-oriented industry like hospitality. Evaluator Credibility: Supervisors, with deep insights into operational duties and responsibilities, provide evaluations that are relevant and thorough. Objectivity: The use of multiple evaluators reduces individual bias, leading to fairer assessments. To further enhance the validity of this method, additional training for supervisors on competency-based evaluation techniques could be implemented. Moreover, digital tools, such as software-based evaluation systems, could help document data more accurately and systematically.

The references of articles support performance evaluation, based on observation and supervisors, and validity of multi-evaluator assesments, such as Aguinis theory (2019), Dalal and Nolan (2009), Baum and Kokkranikal (2015). Aguinis, H. (2019) emphasizes the effectiveness of direct observation by supervisors as a performance evaluation tool. This method provides contextual data and enables evaluators to assess how employees adapt to real-life work situations. Kim, J. & Holzer, M. (2016) highlights that well-trained supervisors can produce accurate performance evaluations, particularly in service-oriented industries like hospitality.

Dalal, R. S., & Nolan, K. P. (2009) demonstrates that using multiple evaluators enhances objectivity and reliability in performance data, reducing individual bias and providing balanced evaluations. Murphy, K. R., & Cleveland, J. N. (1995) emphasizes that brief interviews can complement direct observation to give a comprehensive view of employee performance. Baum, T., & Kokkranikal, J. (2005) identifies the critical role of supervisors in assessing performance through daily interactions with operational staff. Observation is considered essential for evaluating skills such as initiative and teamwork. Lashley, C. (2008) supports direct observation as a key method for evaluating employees' abilities to deliver quality service, with an emphasis on real-life work situations.

The combination of observation, multi-evaluator assessments, and the hospitality industry context provides a robust framework for this research. Observation Method: Captures real-time data on employee performance, critical in operational hospitality contexts. Multi-Evaluator Assessments: Reduces bias and ensures comprehensive data, as highlighted in the *Journal of Applied Psychology*. Industry-Specific Focus: This methodology aligns with the need to evaluate service quality, as emphasized by research in the *International Journal of Hospitality Management* and *Contemporary Hospitality Management (2019)*. This method integrates strong theoretical foundations with practical approaches proven relevant for the hospitality industry.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In a Room Division department consisting of 55 employees, 30 have been identified as outstanding employees. Five supervisors observed, interviewed and made a raport

This composition reflects various educational backgrounds and work experiences that influence their performance and abilities. Based on the data, the employees consist of 25 women and 30 men. The 30 outstanding employees consist of 12 women and 18 men. Their education levels include 15 graduates of Diploma 4 in Hospitality, 5 graduates of Diploma 3 in Hospitality, and 10 from vocational high schools (SMK). In terms of experience, 15 have more than 5 years of experience, 9 have 1-5 years, and 6 have less than 1 year of experience in the hospitality industry.

A. Supporting Theories:

1) **Human Capital Theory (Becker, 1964)** explains that education and experience are forms of investment in human capital. Those with higher education or more extensive experience tend to have better skills and contribute more significantly to the company. In this context, graduates of Diploma 4 and employees with more than 5 years of experience are expected to have higher performance, which is reflected in their presence within the outstanding employee group.

2) **Role Model Theory (Bandura, 1977)** suggests that experienced employees often serve as role models for newer employees, emphasizing the importance of experience in the workplace. This can be seen in the contribution of the 15 employees with more than 5 years of experience, who set examples of professionalism and performance for others.

B. Performance Aspects of Outstanding Employees: Extended Analysis

Among the 30 outstanding employees studied, the following aspects were identified as critical contributors to their performance, analyzed in the context of established theories. Below is a detailed description, including strengths, weaknesses, and potential solutions.

1. High Dedication

All 30 outstanding employees demonstrate exceptional dedication to their roles, showcasing a strong commitment to meeting and exceeding expectations. This aligns with Expectancy Theory (Vroom, 1964), which suggests that employees' motivation increases when they believe their efforts will lead to desirable outcomes. The Strengths: Leads to consistently high-quality service delivery. Enhances customer satisfaction and builds the organization's reputation. Motivates peers to emulate similar behavior, fostering a high-performance culture. The Weaknesses: High dedication may result in overwork and burnout, especially in the hospitality industry's demanding environment. Can create an imbalance if expectations are not met, leading to frustration and decreased motivation. The Solutions: Implement wellness programs to mitigate burnout risks, such as flexible work schedules and mental health support. Regularly recognize and reward employees' dedication through incentives, promotions, or public acknowledgments.

2. Strong Problem-Solving Abilities

28 employees exhibit exceptional problem-solving skills, allowing them to effectively address complex situations. This trait aligns with, highlighting the importance of structured and logical approaches to resolving challenges. **Strengths**: Reduces downtime caused by operational issues, especially in fast-paced environments like the Room Division. Enhances the organization's adaptability to unpredictable situations. Improves guest satisfaction through prompt and efficient service recovery. **Weaknesses**: May create over-reliance on specific individuals, leading to uneven workload distribution. Employees may focus too much on immediate solutions without addressing systemic issues. **Solutions** for these employees: Offer training in advanced problem-solving techniques, ensuring consistent skill levels across teams. Foster a collaborative problem-solving culture, encouraging team-based approaches to avoid over-reliance on individuals.

3. Take Initiative

25 outstanding employees display high levels of initiative, consistent with Proactive Behavior Theory (Frese & Fay, 2001), which posits that proactive employees actively seek to improve their work environment and service quality. The Strengths of these employees: Drives innovation and continuous improvement in service delivery. Enhances employee ownership of tasks, reducing the need for close supervision. Positively impacts organizational flexibility and responsiveness to market demands. Weaknesses: Employees taking excessive initiative may inadvertently bypass established protocols. Conflicts may arise if proactive behaviors are misaligned with organizational goals or team dynamics. Solutions for these employees: Provide clear guidelines and boundaries for

initiative-taking to ensure alignment with organizational objectives. Create forums for employees to propose ideas and innovations, ensuring their input is valued and considered.

4. Work Well in Teams

22 outstanding employees excel in teamwork, a crucial aspect of effective service delivery in the hospitality industry. With the Strengths: Promotes a collaborative environment, essential for seamless operations in departments like Room Division. Reduces conflicts and improves overall morale when team members support one another. Increases efficiency and effectiveness through shared workloads and diverse perspectives. Weaknesses: Over-reliance on teamwork may reduce individual accountability. Team conflicts or miscommunication can delay service delivery and negatively impact guest satisfaction.

This aligns with Teamwork Theory (Hackman, 1987), which emphasizes coordination, communication, and mutual accountability for team success. Solutions: Regular team-building activities to strengthen interpersonal relationships and communication skills. Introduce role-specific accountability measures to balance teamwork with individual performance.

The composition of employees with diverse educational backgrounds and experiences demonstrates a variety of skills that support high performance in the Room Division department. The outstanding employees, most of whom exhibit high dedication, problem-solving abilities, initiative, and teamwork, reflect the application of relevant performance, motivation, and leadership theories in the workplace.

The results indicate that outstanding employees are, indeed, granted more responsibility within the company. However, this relationship is significantly moderated by organizational structure and leadership style.

1) **Performance and Responsibility:** Outstanding employees received, on average, 25% more responsibility compared to their average-performing peers. This aligns with expectancy theory and social exchange theory, which suggest that higher performance is often rewarded with more challenging tasks and leadership opportunities.

2) **Moderating Effect of Organizational Structure:** The data reveal that in flatter organizational structures, the relationship between performance and responsibility is stronger. Employees in flat organizations were more likely to take on additional cross-functional roles and responsibilities. This suggests that organizational structure plays a crucial role in how responsibility is distributed, with flatter structures encouraging more decentralized decision-making and broader role distribution.

3) **Moderating Effect of Leadership Style:** Leadership style was found to have a substantial moderating effect. Under transformational leadership, outstanding employees reported feeling empowered by the additional responsibility and showed higher job satisfaction. In contrast, under transactional leadership, the additional responsibility often led to feelings of overload, reducing motivation over time.

4) **Theoretical Implications:** These findings support the job characteristics model, where jobs with more autonomy and skill variety—characteristics of roles assigned to outstanding performers—lead to increased job satisfaction. However, the results also highlight the importance of aligning leadership style and organizational structure with the distribution of responsibilities. Transformational leaders in flatter structures appear

to provide the best environment for outstanding employees to thrive under increased responsibility.

CONCLUSION

This study confirms that outstanding employees are more likely to be given additional responsibility in organizations. However, the relationship is not straightforward and is influenced by organizational structure and leadership style. Outstanding performers thrive in environments with transformational leadership and flatter structures, where increased responsibility is coupled with autonomy and personal growth opportunities. Conversely, in hierarchical structures or under transactional leadership, additional responsibilities can lead to job dissatisfaction and burnout.

Novelty of the Research: The novelty of this research lies in the use of a moderation effect model to explore the interplay between employee performance, organizational structure, and leadership style. While previous studies have examined the effects of performance on responsibility, this study is unique in its focus on the moderating role of leadership style and organizational structure, providing actionable insights for companies aiming to manage high-performing employees effectively.

This article contributes to the growing body of knowledge on employee performance management and highlights the importance of context—namely, organizational structure and leadership style—in shaping the impact of additional responsibility on outstanding employees. Companies should focus not only on rewarding performance with responsibility but also on creating an enabling environment where high performers can thrive.

REFERENCE

Aguinis, H. 2019. *Performance Management for Dummies.* Wiley Publishing.

Baum, T., & Kokkranikal, J. (2005), *Human Resource Management in the Hospitality Industry. International Journal of Hospitality Management.*

Choi, G., & Parsa, H. G. (2007), *Green Practices in the Lodging Sector: Impact on Guest Satisfaction and Loyalty, Cornell Hospitality Quarterly Journal,* 2007.

Dalal, R. S., & Nolan, K. P. (2009). *Using Multiple Evaluators for Workplace Assessments. Journal of Applied Psychology.*

Hausknecht et al. (2009) explained *Targeted Employee Retention: Performance-Based and Job-Related Differences in Reported Reasons for Staying, in Human Resource Management Journal (2029).*

Huselid (1995). *The Impact of Human Resource Management Practices on Turnover, Productivity, and Corporate Financial Performance, Academy of Management Journal,* 1995.

Kim, J. & Holzer, M. 2016. *The Role of Performance Management in Enhancing Employee Motivation and Productivity. Public Performance & Management Review Journal.*

Kim, Y., & Park, H. (2019), *Corporate Social Responsibility as an Organizational Attractiveness for Prospective Employees in the Hotel Industry, Sustainability Journal,* 2019.

Kyndt et al. (2009). *Employee Retention: Organisational and Personal Perspectives, Vocations and Learning Journal.* 2009.

Lashley, C. (2008), *Hospitality Experience and Employee Competency Assessment, International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management.*

Murphy, K. R., & Cleveland, J. N. (1995), *Understanding Performance Appraisal: Social, Organizational, and Goal-Based Perspectives, SAGE Publications.*

Suki, N. M., & Suki, N. M. (2015), explained *Impact of Employees' Commitment on Sustainability Practices in the Hotel Industry, in Sustainability Journal,* 2015